

There are no known cultural resources at the Marine Terminal or upland sites. Some cultural resources can be damaged by oil spills, either by direct contamination or by clean-up activities that disturb their context. In the case of such a spill, known resources can be protected by booms, while the clean-up crews will receive avoidance instructions for affected sites. Renewal of the lease would continue the risk to resources along the shorelines of the Bay at the same level as at present, while denial of the lease would reduce the risk to the same degree as it reduces the probabilities of an oil spill.

**U. Mandatory Findings of Significance.**

	Potentially Significant Impact				
	No Impact	Less Than Significant Impact	Mitigation Identified	No Mitigation Identified--EIR	Insufficient Data
1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					X
2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?					X
3. Does the project have impacts, which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable?					X
4. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					X

Explanation: